

EXAMINING RACE AND ETHNICITY IN CHILD WELFARE: TWO APPROACHES

BACKGROUND

In the spring of 2021, Evident Change and the California Department of Social Services (CDSS) began a series of statewide workgroups with California tribal partners to build a set of recommendations related to implementing the Structured Decision Making[®] (SDM) system with and for tribal communities. To better examine critical data related to child welfare decision making for tribal children, Evident Change analysts conducted an analysis of SDM[®] use and decision making in California using two different methodologies for examining race/ethnicity and tribal affiliation. These methods are described below.

METHOD 1: TRADITIONAL

The traditional method for examining race/ethnicity relies on two pieces of information recorded in Child Welfare Services/Case Management System (CWS/CMS): the primary ethnicity and Hispanic origin code. Evident Change used these two pieces of information to determine the race/ethnicity of each alleged child victim (child) involved in the referral as described in Table 1, aligning with the race/ethnicity classification method used by the University of California Berkeley's California Child Welfare Indicators Project (CCWIP)¹ and SafeMeasures[®].² As each referral may involve more than one child, Evident Change analysts assigned the family's race/ethnicity using the races/ethnicities of all children on the referral. When the

¹The California Child Welfare Indicators Project may be accessed at https://ccwip.berkeley.edu/.

² SafeMeasures is a proprietary service from Evident Change that helps social system professionals to access and use their case management data efficiently and effectively. SafeMeasures users may access the site at https://app.safemeasures.org/ca/. For more information on SafeMeasures, visit https://www.evidentchange.org/analytics/safemeasures.

children on a single referral had races/ethnicities that differed from one another, the family was defined as having multiple races/ethnicities within the household.

TABLE 1 CHILD-BASED RACE/ETHNICITY DEFINITIONS		
Asian/Pacific Islander	 Hispanic origin code is no or unknown AN Asian Indian Cambodian Chinese Filipino Guamanian Hmong Hawaiian Japanese 	D primary ethnicity type is: • Korean • Laotian • Polynesian • Samoan • Vietnamese • Other Asian • Other Pacific Islander • Other Asian/Pacific Islander
Black/African American	Hispanic origin code is no or unknown AN Black 	D primary ethnicity type is: • Ethiopian
Latinx/Hispanic	 Hispanic origin code is yes AND/OR prim Hispanic Caribbean Central American 	ary ethnicity type is: • Mexican • South American
American Indian/Alaskan Native	Hispanic origin code is no or unknown AN Alaskan Native 	D primary ethnicity type is: • American Indian
White	 Hispanic origin code is no or unknown AN White White - Armenian White - Central American 	D primary ethnicity type is: • White – European • White – Middle Eastern • White – Romanian
Unable to Determine/Missing	 Hispanic origin code is no or unknown AN Unable to determine Decline to state Other race unknown 	 D primary ethnicity type is: Invalid codes (such as 0) Children for whom ethnicity is not coded

METHOD 2: AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKAN NATIVE FOCUS

Particular interest has been raised regarding child welfare involvement for American Indian/Alaskan Native children. Using the traditional method described above may underestimate the number of American Indian/Alaskan Native children involved in child welfare. For example, children who are Latinx/Hispanic and American Indian/Alaskan Native would not be counted in the American Indian/Alaskan Native race/ethnicity group using the traditional approach; these children would be counted in the Latinx/Hispanic category. Therefore, Evident Change developed a methodology to take a closer look at children with any primary ethnicity³ of American Indian/Alaskan Native and/or any verified tribal membership (i.e., the child was determined to be eligible for membership or was a member). In this method, referred families with a child whose primary ethnicity was American Indian/Alaskan Native category (Figure 1). Through this approach, analysts and readers can better understand the population of children served at intake and investigations with any American Indian/Alaskan Native racial/ethnic identity, which may be a closer approximation of the number of children subject to federal standards under the Indian Child Welfare Act (ICWA).





³ Note that this approach considered only the primary ethnicity from CWS/CMS. Workers may enter secondary ethnicities into CWS/CMS; secondary ethnicities were not included in this approach.